3a(2)



## City of San José Police and Fire Department Postemployment Healthcare Plan

Actuarial Valuation Funding Report as of June 30, 2020

**Produced by Cheiron** 

January 2021

### TABLE OF CONTENTS


<u>Section</u>		<u>Page</u>
Section I	Board Summary	1
Section II	Certification	9
Section III	Assets	11
Section IV	Measures of Liability	14
Section V	Contributions	20
Section VI	Actuarial Section of the CAFR	23
<u>Appendices</u>		
Appendix A	Membership Information	30
Appendix B	Actuarial Assumptions and Methods	39
Appendix C	Summary of Plan Provisions	52
Appendix D	Glossary of Terms.	58
Appendix E	List of Abbreviations	61



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

This report measures assets and liabilities of the City of San José Police and Fire Department Postemployment Healthcare Plan for funding purposes only. There are separate reports for financial reporting.

### **Dashboard**





#### SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY

The charts on the previous page are intended to provide a quick overview of the current valuation results compared to the prior valuation results. The charts on the top show contributions for FYE 2021 and 2022 for Police (left chart) and Fire (right chart). The normal cost shown by the red line represents the expected cost of the explicit premium subsidy benefits attributable to the current year of service. All contributions above the normal cost go toward paying off the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL). The Tread Water amount shown by the blue line represents the amount needed to pay the normal cost and interest on the UAL. Contributions above the Tread Water line reduce the principal of the UAL. Since the top of the gold bar is about equal to the Tread Water line for Fire and slightly below the Tread Water line for Police, the dollar amount of the UAL is expected to increase slightly. As the remaining amortization periods shorten, contributions will exceed the Tread Water line and the UAL will be paid off. The implicit subsidy is not shown on these charts as it is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis as a part of the health premiums for active employees. The City's implicit subsidy payment is approximately \$3.0 million for FYE 2022.

The charts on the bottom of the previous page summarize the funded status as of the June 30, 2019 and June 30, 2020 actuarial valuations. The stacked bars represent the Actuarial Liability, with the liability broken into separate components for members currently receiving benefits, vested terminated members, and active members. These liability amounts are only for the portion of the plan that is intended to be pre-funded, so they do not include the implicit subsidy.

More detail and discussion is provided in the following sections.



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

#### **Contributions**

There are two components to the benefits under the Plan: the explicit subsidy and the implicit subsidy. The explicit subsidy (or premium subsidy) is paid by the Plan and is the premium for health coverage selected by the retiree, up to 100% of the premium for the lowest cost plan offered to active employees. The implicit subsidy is the difference between the expected claims cost for a retiree or spouse and the total (retiree plus city) premium.

Historically, member and City contributions to the plan were negotiated through collective bargaining and were not actuarially determined. With the implementation of Measure F, member contributions became fixed at 8.0% of pay, and the City's contribution toward the explicit subsidy became actuarially determined separately for Police and Fire. However, the City has the option to limit its contribution for the explicit subsidy to 11% of Police and Fire payroll. Finally, the City also pays the implicit subsidy on a pay-as-you-go basis as a part of active health premiums. Because the Board's role is related to the funding of the explicit subsidy, the focus of this report is on the status of that funding and the development of the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) for the pre-funded benefits.

Table I-1 shows the contribution amounts for the fiscal years ending in 2021 and 2022.


Table I-1 Summary of Trust Contribution Amounts											
	FY	YE 2022	FY	YE 2021	% Change						
Police Department											
Members	\$	6,030	\$	6,666	-9.5%						
City		17,245		15,320	<u>12.6</u> %						
Total	\$	23,275	\$	21,986	5.9%						
Fire Department											
Members	\$	5,187	\$	5,196	-0.2%						
City		11,027		10,062	<u>9.6</u> %						
Total	\$	16,214	\$	15,258	6.3%						
Total Trust Contributions											
Members	\$	11,217	\$	11,862	-5.4%						
City		28,272		25,382	<u>11.4</u> %						
Grand Total	\$	39,489	\$	37,244	6.0%						
Estimated City Optional Cap	\$	27,282	\$	26,783	1.9%						



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

The chart below shows the projected contributions to the Plan. The purple bars represent the member contributions, and the gold bars are the City's contributions to pre-fund the explicit subsidy. The red line represents the sum of member contributions and the City's optional cap. To the extent the bars rise above the red line, the projected contributions exceed the City's optional cap. The gray area behind the bars represents the projected annual benefit payments.

### **Projected Contributions FYE 2021-2045**



Because new entrants are only entitled to catastrophic disability benefits and do not contribute to the Plan, the member contributions are expected to decline as current active members who are eligible for full benefits retire or otherwise leave active employment with the City. The City's actuarially determined contribution is expected to increase faster than payroll as the member contributions decrease. The Plan has historically had positive net cash flow, but we only expect that to last through FYE 2027.



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

#### **Funded Status**


Table I-2 below summarizes the Actuarial Liability, Market Value of Assets, Unfunded Actuarial Liability, and funded percentage for the Plan as of June 30, 2020 compared to June 30, 2019. The Actuarial Liability for the explicit subsidy increased 11.9% for Police and 10.9% for Fire. At the same time, assets increased 10.2% for Police and 16.0% for Fire due primarily to contributions. As a result, the Unfunded Actuarial Liability increased by 12.7% for Police and 8.9% for Fire and the funded percentage decreased from 32.5% to 32.1% for Police and improved from 27.0% to 28.2% for Fire.

Table I-2 Summary of Funded Status - Explicit Subsidy Only											
	6	/30/2020	/30/2019	% Change							
<b>Police Department</b> Actuarial Liability Actives	\$	127,495	\$	122,325	4.2%						
Deferred Vested In Pay Status	<u> </u>	2,435 299,826	<u>•</u>	3,181 258,563	-23.5% 16.0%						
Total Assets Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	429,756 137,789 291,967	\$ \$	384,069 124,990 259,079	11.9% 10.2% 12.7%						
Funded Percentage		32.1%		32.5%	-0.5%						
<b>Fire Department</b> Actuarial Liability											
Actives Deferred Vested In Pay Status	\$	97,632 270 152,651	\$	88,561 496 136,954	10.2% -45.6% 11.5%						
Total	\$	250,553	\$	226,011	10.9%						
Assets Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	70,743 179,810	\$	60,967 165,044	16.0% 8.9%						
Funded Percentage		28.2%		27.0%	1.3%						
<b>Grand Total</b> Aggregate UAL Aggregate Funded Percentage	\$	471,778 30.7%	\$	424,123 30.5%	11.2% 0.2%						



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

The chart below shows the historical trend of assets and the Actuarial Liability (excluding the implicit subsidy) on a funding basis for the City of San José Police and Fire Department Postemployment Healthcare Plan. The reduction in the Actuarial Liability from 2011 to 2013 was primarily due to plan changes and favorable medical cost trend experience, offset by changes in the discount rate. The increase in the Actuarial Liability from 2014 through 2016 was primarily the expected growth of the Actuarial Liability with some adjustments due to changes in assumptions. The decrease in the Actuarial Liability in 2017 was primarily due to plan changes and health assumption changes. The increase in the Actuarial Liability from 2018 to 2020 is primarily due to assumption changes, including the reduction of the discount rate from 6.875% to 6.50% in 2018 and from 6.50% to 6.25% in 2020.




The chart on the next page shows a 25-year projection of assets and Actuarial Liability (AL), and also shows the projected funded percentage for the explicit subsidy. If all assumptions are met in the future including an expected return of 6.25% each year and the City does not impose its optional cap on contributions, the funded percentage for the explicit subsidy is expected to reach about 98% by 2044. If the City imposes its optional cap on contributions every year, the funded percentage for the explicit subsidy is expected to only reach 75% by 2044.



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

### Projected Assets and Actuarial Liability 2020-2044



### **Changes Since the Prior Valuation**

Table I-3 below breaks out the sources of the changes in UAL for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2020. The total UAL for the explicit subsidy increased about \$47.7 million since the prior year.

Table I-3 Changes in Unfunded Actuarial Liability - Explicit Subsidy Only										
		Total								
Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30, 2019 Unfunded Actuarial Liability, June 30, 2020	\$	424,123 471,778								
Change in Unfunded Actuarial Liability	<b>\$</b>	47,654								
Sources of Changes Contributions below Tread Water Investment Experience Liability Experience Assumption Changes Total Changes	\$ <b>\$</b>	161 6,253 (3,019) 44,259 47,654								

Dollar amounts in thousands

The primary sources of the increase in the UAL were the assumption changes (\$44.3 million increase), mainly due to reducing the discount rate from 6.50% to 6.25% and plan election



#### **SECTION I – BOARD SUMMARY**

assumptions. Investment experience also increased the UAL by about \$6.3 million. Contributions were below the Tread Water level (normal cost plus interest on the UAL) by about \$0.2 million. Liability experience decreased the UAL by about \$3.0 million, mainly driven by the decreases in the Medicare eligible premium rates offset by demographic experience.

Table I-4 below provides a summary of the results of this valuation compared to the prior valuation.

Table I-4 Summary of Valuation Results												
		J	ur	ie 30, 2020	)							
		Police		Fire		Total	Jı	ine 30, 2019	Change			
Active Members												
Full Benefits		578		495		1,073		1,141	-6.0%			
Catastrophic Disability Only		469		167		636		560	13.6%			
Total Active Members		1,047		662		1,709		1,701	0.5%			
Deferred Vested Members		9		1		10		15	-33.3%			
Members in Pay Status or In-Lieu	_	1,374		843	_	2,217		2,155	2.9%			
Total		2,430		1,506		3,936		3,871	1.7%			
Full Benefit Member Payroll	\$	82,773	\$	67,891	\$	150,664	\$	157,657	-4.4%			
Total Payroll		147,269		93,529		240,798		235,818	2.1%			
Actuarial Liability - Explicit	\$	429,756	\$	250,553	\$	680,308	\$	610,080	11.5%			
Market Value of Assets		137,789		70,743		208,531		185,957	12.1%			
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	291,967	\$	179,810	\$	471,777	\$	424,123	11.2%			
Funded Percentage		32.06%		28.23%		30.65%		30.48%	0.2%			
Actuarial Liability - Implicit	\$	38,347	\$	20,359	\$	58,706	\$	83,249	-29.5%			
			F	YE 2022								
		Police		Fire		Total		FYE 2021	Change			
City's ADC Amount	\$	17,245	\$	11,027	\$	28,271	\$	25,382	11.4%			
City's ADC Rate		11.4%		11.4%		11.4%		10.4%	1.0%			
City's Implicit Subsidy Payment	\$	1,978	\$	1,062	\$	3,040	\$	3,473	-12.5%			



#### **SECTION II - CERTIFICATION**

The purpose of this report is to present the annual actuarial valuation of the City of San José Police and Fire Postemployment Department Healthcare Plan. This report is for the use of the Board in setting actuarially determined amounts for the City to contribute to the Plan. There are separate reports for accounting and financial reporting under GASB Statements 74 and 75.

In preparing our report, we relied on information, some oral and some written, supplied by the Plan. This information includes, but is not limited to, the plan provisions, employee data, and financial information. We performed an informal examination of the obvious characteristics of the data for reasonableness and consistency in accordance with Actuarial Standard of Practice No. 23.

The health assumptions and discount rate assumption were adopted by the Board of Administration at the January 7, 2021 Board meeting based upon our recommendations. Price inflation and wage inflation assumptions were adopted at the December 3, 2020 Board meeting based upon our recommendations. All other assumptions in this report were adopted at the November 7, 2019 Board meeting based on recommendations from our experience study covering plan experience through June 30, 2019. Please refer to the experience study report and our Board presentations for an explanation of the rationale for each assumption. We believe these assumptions are reasonable for the purpose of the funding valuation.

The liability measures and funding ratios in this report are for the purpose of establishing contribution amounts. These measures are not appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the Plan's benefit obligations.

Cheiron utilizes ProVal actuarial valuation software leased from Winklevoss Technologies (WinTech) to calculate liabilities and project benefit payments. We have relied on WinTech as the developer of ProVal. We have a basic understanding of ProVal and have used ProVal in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of ProVal that would affect this valuation.

Health care trends for this valuation were developed using the Society of Actuaries (SOA) Long-Run Medical Cost Trend Model. The SOA Long-Run Medical Cost Trend Model and its baseline projection are based on an econometric analysis of historical U.S. medical expenditures and the judgments of experts in the field. The long-run baseline projection and input variables have been developed under the guidance of the SOA Project Oversight Group.

We have relied on the Society of Actuaries as the developer of the Model. We have reviewed the Model and have a basic understanding of the Model and have used the Model in accordance with its original intended purpose. We have not identified any material inconsistencies in assumptions or output of the Model that would affect this valuation.

Deterministic projections in this valuation report were developed using H-scan, a proprietary tool used to illustrate the impact of changes in assumptions, methods, plan provisions, or actual experience on the future financial status of the Plan. H-scan uses standard roll-forward techniques that implicitly assume a stable active population.



#### **SECTION II – CERTIFICATION**

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and, changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

This report and its contents have been prepared in accordance with generally recognized and accepted actuarial principles and practices and our understanding of the Code of Professional Conduct and applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice set out by the Actuarial Standards Board as well as applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, as credentialed actuaries, we collectively meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the opinion contained in this report. This report does not address any contractual or legal issues. We are not attorneys, and our firm does not provide any legal services or advice.

This report was prepared for the Board for the purposes described herein. Other users of this report are not intended users as defined in the Actuarial Standards of Practice, and Cheiron assumes no duty or liability to any other user.

This valuation report does not reflect future changes in benefits, penalties, taxes, or administrative costs that may be required as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010, related legislation, or regulations.

William R. Hallmark, ASA, EA, FCA, MAAA

Willia R. Hall ale

Consulting Actuary

Michael W. Schionning, FSA, MAAA Principal Consulting Actuary

John L. Colberg, FSA, EA, MAAA Principal Consulting Actuary



#### **SECTION III - ASSETS**

Assets are invested in two separate trust vehicles: a 401(h) account within the pension plan and a separate 115 Trust. All City contributions are made to the 115 Trust while member contributions are made to the 401(h) account. Benefit payments are currently being paid from the 401(h) account, but some benefit payments will likely need to be paid from the 115 trust in the near future.

### **Statement of Change in Market Value of Assets**

Table III-1 and Table III-2 show the changes in the Market Value of Assets for the last two fiscal years for Police and Fire separately. In addition to the amounts shown, the implicit subsidy is paid directly by the City as a part of the active health plan premiums.

Table III-1 Market Value of Assets - Police Department												
	Fiscal 401(h)	Year Endir			Year Endir							
Market Value, Beginning	\$ 32,736	\$ 92,254	Total \$124,990	401(h) \$ 37,561	\$ 74,098	<b>Total</b> \$ 111,659						
Contributions  Member  City  Total	7,331 0 \$ 7,331	0 14,595 \$ 14,595	7,331 14,595 \$ 21,926	7,636 0 \$ 7,636	0 14,086 \$ 14,086	7,636 14,086 \$ 21,722						
Net Investment Earnings	1,103	3,723	4,826	1,133	4,098	5,231						
Benefit Payments Administrative Expenses	13,876 46	0 31	13,876 77	13,536 58	0 28	13,536 86						
Market Value, End Estimated Rate of Return	\$ 27,248 3.7%	\$ 110,541 3.5%	\$ 137,789 3.5%	\$ 32,736 3.3%	\$ 92,254 4.6%	\$ 124,990 4.3%						



#### **SECTION III - ASSETS**

Table III-2 Market Value of Assets - Fire Department												
		Fiscal Year Ending 2020						Fiscal Year Ending 2019				
M 1 (W1 D : :		01(h)		5 Trust	Φ	Total		401(h)		15 Trust	Φ	Total
Market Value, Beginning	\$	4,115	\$	56,852	\$	60,967	\$	5,454	\$	45,407	\$	50,861
Contributions Member		5,804		0		5,804		5,680		0		5,680
City	_	0	_	9,408	_	9,408	_	0	_	8,942	_	8,942
Total	\$	5,804	\$	9,408	\$	15,212	\$	5,680	\$	8,942	\$	14,622
Net Investment Earnings		115		2,302		2,417		154		2,520		2,674
Benefit Payments		7,808		0		7,808		7,151		0		7,151
Administrative Expenses		26		19		45		22		17		39
Market Value, End	\$	2,200	\$	68,543	\$	70,743	\$	4,115	\$	56,852	\$	60,967
Estimated Rate of Return		3.7%		3.5%		3.5%		3.3%		4.6%		4.5%

Dollar amounts in thousands


In the last year, investments, in aggregate, returned approximately 3.5% for Police and 3.5% for Fire compared to an expected rate of return of 6.50%, resulting in a total investment loss of approximately \$6.3 million. The assets in the 401(h) account returned approximately 3.7%, while the assets in the 115 trust returned approximately 3.5%.

The chart on the following page shows the actual investment return on the Market Value of Assets compared to the assumed return for the last 10 years. The average of the actual returns is about 5.40%.



### **SECTION III – ASSETS**

### **Historical Rates of Return**






#### SECTION IV - MEASURES OF LIABILITY


This section presents detailed information on liability measures for the Plan for funding purposes, including:

- Projected Benefit Payments,
- Present value of future benefits,
- Normal cost, and
- Actuarial Liability.

### **Projected Benefit Payments**

The projected benefit payments are the fundamental basis for the valuation representing the amount that is expected to be paid in each future year for members in the Plan as of the valuation date if all assumptions are met. The charts below show the projected benefit payments for the next 70 years.







### **SECTION IV – MEASURES OF LIABILITY**

Tables IV-1 and IV-2 show the expected net benefit payments for the next 20 years for the Police and Fire Departments respectively. These payments include the expected annual implicit subsidy as well as expected plan premium payments (the explicit subsidy).

	Table IV-1 Expected Net Benefit Payments - Police Department											
Fiscal Year Ending June 30	Medical	Explicit Subsid Dental	l <u>y</u> Total	Implicit Subsidy	Total Expected Payments							
2021	\$ 13,241	\$ 1,575	\$ 14,816	\$ 1,950	\$ 16,766							
2022	14,228	1,680	15,908	1,978	17,886							
2023	16,183	1,798	17,981	2,000	19,981							
2024	19,387	1,908	21,294	2,494	23,789							
2025	20,528	2,010	22,538	2,744	25,282							
2026	22,268	2,103	24,372	2,974	27,346							
2027	23,654	2,204	25,858	3,140	28,997							
2028	25,165	2,297	27,462	3,371	30,833							
2029	26,434	2,384	28,818	3,628	32,446							
2030	27,709	2,464	30,173	3,905	34,078							
2031	28,735	2,536	31,271	4,067	35,338							
2032	29,876	2,608	32,483	4,253	36,736							
2033	30,739	2,678	33,417	4,261	37,678							
2034	31,658	2,748	34,406	4,185	38,591							
2035	32,486	2,808	35,294	4,175	39,469							
2036	33,293	2,863	36,155	3,992	40,148							
2037	33,801	2,909	36,709	3,577	40,287							
2038	34,496	2,946	37,442	3,426	40,868							
2039	35,122	2,978	38,099	3,221	41,320							
2040	35,665	3,006	38,671	2,884	41,554							



### **SECTION IV – MEASURES OF LIABILITY**

	Table IV-2 Expected Net Benefit Payments - Fire Department											
Fiscal Year Ending June 30	l Medical	Explicit Subsice Dental	dy Total	Implicit Subsidy	Total Expected Payments							
2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026	\$ 8,218 8,790 9,723 10,914 11,857 12,878	\$ 969 1,030 1,092 1,157 1,218 1,276	\$ 9,187 9,820 10,815 12,071 13,075 14,155	\$ 1,065 1,062 1,047 1,233 1,326 1,360	\$ 10,252 10,882 11,862 13,304 14,401 15,514							
2027 2028 2029 2030 2031	13,885 14,775 15,623 16,236 17,016	1,339 1,397 1,448 1,492 1,539	15,224 16,172 17,071 17,727 18,555	1,524 1,616 1,847 2,006	16,748 17,789 18,918 19,734 20,808							
2031 2032 2033 2034 2035	17,010 17,784 18,399 18,928 19,598	1,589 1,588 1,640 1,692 1,744	19,371 20,039 20,621 21,342	2,252 2,424 2,566 2,581 2,604	20,808 21,796 22,605 23,202 23,946							
2036 2037 2038 2039 2040	20,128 20,728 21,306 21,846 22,331	1,786 1,828 1,862 1,894 1,918	21,913 22,557 23,168 23,740 24,249	2,486 2,410 2,390 2,338 2,400	24,400 24,966 25,558 26,078 26,649							



#### **SECTION IV – MEASURES OF LIABILITY**

### **Present Value of Future Benefits**

The present value of future benefits represents the expected amount of money needed today, if all assumptions are met, to pay for all benefits both earned as of the valuation date and expected to be earned in the future by current plan members under the current plan provisions. Table IV-3 below shows the present value of future benefits as of June 30, 2020 and June 30, 2019.

	Actives	Deferre Vested	•	Total	- June 30, 2019	Change
Police Department	t					
Explicit Subsidy	\$ 168,725	\$ 2,43	5 \$ 299,826	\$ 470,985	\$ 424,233	11.0%
Implicit Subsidy	18,980	28	8 22,140	41,409	55,241	-25.0%
<b>Total Police</b>	\$ 187,705	\$ 2,72	3 \$ 321,966	\$ 512,394	\$ 479,474	6.9%
Fire Department						
Explicit Subsidy	\$ 138,691	\$ 27	0 \$ 152,651	\$ 291,612	\$ 265,910	9.7%
Implicit Subsidy	16,922	3	8,135	25,088	37,795	-33.6%
Total Fire	\$ 155,612	\$ 30	\$ 160,786	\$ 316,701	\$ 303,705	4.3%
Aggregate						
Explicit Subsidy	\$ 307,415	\$ 2,70	5 \$ 452,477	\$ 762,598	\$ 690,143	10.5%
Implicit Subsidy	35,902	32	0 30,275	66,497	93,036	-28.5%
Grand Total	\$ 343,317	\$ 3,02	\$ 482,752	\$ 829,094	\$ 783,179	5.9%



#### SECTION IV - MEASURES OF LIABILITY

#### **Normal Cost**

Under the Entry Age (EA) actuarial cost method, the present value of future benefits for each individual is spread over the individual's expected working career as a level percentage of the individual's expected pay. The normal cost rate is determined by taking the value, as of entry age into the Plan, of each member's projected future benefits divided by the value, also at entry age, of the each member's expected future salary. The normal cost rate is multiplied by current salary to determine each member's normal cost. The normal cost of the Plan is the sum of the normal costs for each individual. The normal cost represents the expected amount of money needed to fund the benefits attributed to the next year of service under the Entry Age actuarial cost method. Table IV-4 shows the EA normal cost as of June 30, 2020 and June 30, 2019 separately by component both as a dollar amount and a percent of payroll.

			Table IV- Normal Co				
		June 30	, 2020		June 30	, 2019	% Change
	A	mount	Percent	A	mount	Percent	Amount
Police Department							
Explicit Subsidy							
Non-Medicare Eligible	\$	3,521	4.38%	\$	3,346	3.84%	5.2%
Medicare Eligible		2,196	2.73%		2,163	2.48%	1.5%
Dental		436	0.54%		468	0.54%	-6.9%
Total Explicit Subsidy	\$	6,152	<del>7.66</del> %	\$	5,977	6.86%	2.9%
Implicit Subsidy		531	0.66%		659	0.76%	-19.4%
Total Police	\$	6,683	8.32%	\$	6,635	7.62%	0.7%
Fire Department							
Explicit Subsidy							
Non-Medicare Eligible	\$	2,437	3.70%	\$	2,290	3.49%	6.4%
Medicare Eligible		2,447	3.72%		2,308	3.52%	6.0%
Dental		412	0.63%		421	0.64%	-2.1%
Total Explicit Subsidy	\$	5,297	8.04%	\$	5,019	7.65%	5.5%
Implicit Subsidy	•	623	0.95%	•	761	1.16%	-18.2%
Total Fire	\$	5,919	8.99%	\$	5,781	8.81%	2.4%
Total Explicit Subsidy	\$	11,449	15.70%	\$	10,996	14.51%	4.1%
Total Implicit Subsidy	•	1,153	1.61%	*	1,420	1.92%	-18.8%
Grand Total	\$	12,603	17.31%	\$	12,416	16.43%	1.5%



#### **SECTION IV – MEASURES OF LIABILITY**

### **Actuarial Liability**

The Actuarial Liability represents the expected amount of money needed today, if all assumptions are met, to pay for benefits attributed to service prior to the valuation date under the Entry Age actuarial cost method. As such, it is the amount of assets targeted by the actuarial cost method for the Plan to hold as of the valuation date. It is not the amount necessary to settle the obligation. Table IV-5 shows the Actuarial Liability as of June 30, 2020 and June 30, 2019 separately by component.

	Table IV-5 Actuarial Liability  June 30, 2020  Deferred In Pay											
		Actives				In Pay Status		Total	Fotal June 30, 2019		% Change	
Police Department									our	10 00, 2015		
Explicit Subsidy												
Pre-Medicare	\$	54,561	\$	877	\$	67,436	\$	122,874	\$	110,867	10.8%	
Medicare Eligible		62,502		1,335		207,589		271,426		238,483	13.8%	
Dental		10,433		222		24,801		35,456		34,719	2.1%	
Total Explicit	\$	127,495	\$	2,435	\$	299,826	\$	429,756	\$	384,069	11.9%	
Implicit Subsidy		15,918		288		22,140		38,347		51,369	-25.4%	
<b>Total Police</b>	\$	143,413	\$	2,723	\$	321,966	\$	468,102	\$	435,438	7.5%	
Fire Department												
Explicit Subsidy												
Pre-Medicare	\$	39,896	\$	100	\$	22,714	\$	62,710	\$	57,469	9.1%	
Medicare Eligible		49,699		147		116,901		166,748		147,709	12.9%	
Dental		8,035		23		13,036		21,095		20,833	1.3%	
Total Explicit	\$	97,631	\$	270	\$	152,651	\$	250,553	\$	226,011	10.9%	
Implicit Subsidy		12,193		31	_	8,135		20,359		31,880	-36.1%	
Total Fire	\$	109,823	\$	302	\$	160,786	\$	270,912	\$	257,891	5.0%	
Aggregate												
Explicit Subsidy	\$	225,126	\$	2,705	\$	452,477	\$	680,308	\$	610,080	11.5%	
Implicit Subsidy	*	28,111	₹	320	**	30,275	*	58,706	7	83,249	-29.5%	
Grand Total	\$	253,237	\$	3,025	\$	482,752	\$	739,014	\$	693,329	6.6%	



#### **SECTION V – CONTRIBUTIONS**

### Amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Liability

Under the contribution allocation procedure employed by the Plan, there are three components to the contribution toward the explicit subsidy: the normal cost, administrative expenses, and an amortization payment on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL). The normal cost was developed in Section IV. This section develops the administrative expenses, the UAL contribution, and the City's actuarially determined contribution for the explicit subsidy. The implicit subsidy is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis through the payment of active health premiums.

The difference between the Actuarial Liability and the Market Value of Assets is the Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL). Table V-1 calculates the UAL and funded percentage for the explicit subsidy.

Table V-1 Unfunded Actuarial Liability												
	Jur	ne 30, 2020	Jun	ie 30, 2019	% Change							
Police Department												
Actuarial Liability	\$	429,756	\$	384,069	11.9%							
Assets		137,789		124,990	10.2%							
<b>Unfunded Actuarial Liability</b>	\$	291,967	\$	259,079	12.7%							
Funded Percentage		32.1%		32.5%	-1.5%							
Fire Department												
Actuarial Liability	\$	250,553	\$	226,011	10.9%							
Assets		70,743		60,967	16.0%							
Unfunded Actuarial Liability	\$	179,810	\$	165,044	8.9%							
Funded Percentage		28.2%		27.0%	4.7%							
Aggregate UAL	\$	471,777	\$	424,123	11.2%							
Aggregate Funded Percentage		30.7%		30.5%	0.6%							

Dollar amounts in thousands

The UAL for the explicit subsidy as of June 30, 2017 was amortized as a level percentage of payroll over 25 years. Subsequent amortization bases are also amortized over 25 years, but payment levels are phased in and out over three years. Table V-2 shows the schedule of amortization bases for payment of the UAL.



#### **SECTION V – CONTRIBUTIONS**

	Table V-2 UAL Amortization												
	Oı	itstanding	Rem	aining	F.	YE 2022							
		Balance	Period	Phase-in	_ P	ayment							
Police Department													
Fresh Start UAL	\$	241,812	22	N/A	\$	15,399							
2018 Changes		12,068	23	1		724							
2019 Changes		(9,780)	24	2		(392)							
2020 Changes		32,180	25	3		646							
FYE 2021 Payment*		15,687											
Total 2020 Police UAL	\$	291,967			\$	16,377							
Fire Department													
Fresh Start UAL	\$	151,139	22	N/A	\$	9,625							
2018 Changes		10,118	23	1		607							
2019 Changes		(5,540)	24	2		(222)							
2020 Changes		14,174	25	3		284							
FYE 2021 Payment*		9,919											
Total 2020 Fire UAL	\$	179,810			\$	10,294							
Grand Total	\$	471,777			\$	26,671							

<sup>\*</sup> FYE 2021 amortization payment discounted to 7/1/2020

Dollar amounts in thousands

### **Contributions for Administrative Expenses**

Contributions for administrative expenses are set to be \$41.00 per member for FYE 2022 (increasing 3.00 percent per year). There are currently 3,936 members, resulting in estimated administrative expenses for FYE 2022 of \$161,376.

#### **Contribution Amounts**

The City pays the actuarially determined contribution for the explicit subsidy, but has the option to cap its contribution at 11% of Police and Fire payroll, including the payroll for members only eligible for catastrophic disability benefits. The actuarially determined contribution is the normal cost plus administrative expenses plus the amortization payment on the UAL less expected member contributions. Members eligible for full benefits contribute 8.00% of pay.

Table V-3 on the following page shows the components of the Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) amounts for the explicit subsidy for FYE 2022 and 2021.



### **SECTION V – CONTRIBUTIONS**

Table V-3 City's Actuarially Determined Contribution (ADC) Explicit Subsidy Only												
	F	YE 2022		FYE 2021	% Change							
<b>Police Department</b> Projected Full Benefit Payroll Normal Cost Rate	\$	75,371 7.66%	\$	83,320 6.86%	-9.5% 11.7%							
Normal Cost Amount Administrative Expense UAL Payment	\$	5,774 100 17,400	\$	5,716 81 16,189	1.0% 23.6% 7.5%							
Total Contribution Projected Member Contributions	\$ 	23,274 6,030	\$ _	21,985 6,666	5.9% -9.5%							
City's ADC Amount Projected Total Payroll City's ADC Percentage	\$	17,245 151,687 11.4%	\$	<b>15,320</b> 151,638 10.1%	0.0% 12.5%							
Fire Department Projected Full Benefit Payroll Normal Cost Rate	\$	64,844 8.04%	\$	64,947 7.65%	-0.2% 5.2%							
Normal Cost Amount Administrative Expense UAL Payment	\$	5,215 62 10,938	\$	4,966 55 10,237	5.0% 12.0% 6.8%							
Total Contribution Projected Member Contributions City's ADC Amount	\$ \$	16,214 5,187 11,027	\$ \$	15,258 5,196 10,062	6.3% -0.2% <b>9.6%</b>							
Projected Total Payroll City's ADC Percentage	Ψ	96,335 11.4%	Ψ	91,844 11.0%	4.9% 4.5%							
Grand Totals City's ADC Amount Projected Total Payroll City's ADC Percentage	\$	28,271 248,022 11.4%	\$	25,382 243,482 10.4%	11.4% 1.9% 9.3%							
City's Optional Cap	\$	27,282	\$	26,783	1.9%							



#### SECTION VI - ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

The Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA) maintains a checklist of items to be included in the Plan's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) in order to receive recognition for excellence in financial reporting. The schedules in this section are listed by the GFOA for inclusion in the Actuarial Section of the Plan's CAFR.

Table VI-1 Police Department - Member Benefit Coverage Information											
Actuarial Valuation Date	Actuaria Retirees and Vested Terminated (A)	Active Members (B)	Market Value of Assets *	Portion of I Covered Actuarial Valu (A)	d by						
6/30/2020 6/30/2019 6/30/2018 6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015 6/30/2014 6/30/2013 6/30/2012 6/30/2011	\$ 324,689 293,344 305,606 280,546 307,941 290,354 275,902 268,633 374,949 381,819	\$ 143,413 142,094 166,211 150,792 188,002 179,969 180,568 183,266 268,590 262,855	\$ 137,789 124,990 111,659 99,926 97,412 85,322 70,102 57,832 49,797 43,108	42% 43% 37% 36% 32% 29% 25% 22% 13% 11%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%						

<sup>\*</sup> Actuarial Value of Assets for 6/30/2016 and earlier



### SECTION VI – ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

Table VI-2 Fire Department - Member Benefit Coverage Information												
Actuarial Valuation Date		Actuarial tirees and Vested rminated (A)		Active Active Iembers (B)	V	Market falue of Assets *	Portion of Liability Covered by Actuarial Value of Ass (A) (B) 44% 0					
6/30/2020 6/30/2019 6/30/2018 6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015 6/30/2014 6/30/2012 6/30/2011	\$	161,088 154,885 161,946 147,204 164,063 161,381 153,132 153,366 225,919 240,872	\$	109,823 103,007 113,510 101,704 118,866 108,049 97,108 95,261 127,862 118,249	\$	70,743 60,967 50,861 42,591 37,795 29,243 23,503 17,203 16,587 17,600	44% 39% 31% 29% 23% 18% 15% 11% 7%	0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%				

<sup>\*</sup> Actuarial Value of Assets for 6/30/2016 and earlier

Dollar amounts in thousands

	Table VI-3 Police Department - Analysis of Financial Experience											
G	Gain or (Loss) for the Year Ending on Valuation Date Due to:											
Actuarial Combined Total Valuation Investment Liability Financial Assumption Total Date Income Experience Experience Changes Experience												
6/30/2020 6/30/2019 6/30/2018	\$	(4,115) (2,839) (2,442)	\$	17,054 64,974 3,712	\$	12,938 62,135 1,270	\$	(30,044) (6,817) (22,819)	\$	(17,106) 55,318 (21,549)		

The analysis was combined for Police and Fire for 6/30/2017 and earlier



#### SECTION VI - ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

G	Table VI-4 Fire Department - Analysis of Financial Experience Gain or (Loss) for the Year Ending on Valuation Date Due to:											
Actuarial Combined Total Valuation Investment Liability Financial Assumption Total Date Income Experience Experience Changes Experience												
6/30/2020 6/30/2019 6/30/2018	\$	(2,137) (1,205) (1,548)	\$	19,190 34,915 (311)	\$	17,052 33,710 (1,859)	\$	(18,287) (1,749) (13,568)	\$	(1,235) 31,961 (15,427)		

The analysis was combined for Police and Fire for 6/30/2017 and earlier

Dollar amounts in thousands

Po	Table VI-5 Police and Fire Department - Analysis of Financial Experience											
Gain or (Loss) for the Year Ending on Valuation Date Due to:												
Actuarial Combined Total Valuation Investment Liability Financial Non-Recurring Total Date Income Experience Experience Items Experience												
6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015 6/30/2014 6/30/2013 6/30/2012 6/30/2011	2,647 (2,914) 582 2,802 2,437 (6,011) (2,661)	(15,108) (2,728) 7,990 16,222 (4,536) 4,760 5,967	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	(12,461) (5,642) 8,572 19,024 (2,099) (1,251) 3,306	4,864 (3,449) 13,689 258,939	16,784 (778) 5,123 32,713 256,840 56,922 4,452						

The analysis was combined for Police and Fire for 6/30/2017 and earlier

Dollar amounts in thousands

### **Schedule of Funding Progress**

The schedule of funding progress compares the assets used for funding purposes to the comparable liabilities to determine how well the Plan is funded and how this status has changed over the past several years. The Actuarial Liability is compared to the Actuarial Value of Assets to determine the funding ratio. Since the June 30, 2017 valuation, the Actuarial Value of Assets is equal to the Market Value of Assets.



### SECTION VI – ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

	Table VI-6 Police Department - Schedule of Funding Progress												
Actuarial Valuation Date		Actuarial Value of Assets (a)		actuarial Liability (b)	A	nfunded actuarial Liability (UAL) (b - a)		Funded Ratio (a ÷ b)	(	Annual Covered Payroll (d)	UAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b - a) ÷ c)		
6/30/2020	\$	137,789	\$	468,102	\$	330,314		29.4%	\$	147,269	224%		
6/30/2019		124,990		435,438		310,448		28.7%		146,865	211%		
6/30/2018		111,659		471,817		360,158		23.7%		131,888	273%		
6/30/2017		99,926		431,338		331,412		23.2%		120,299	275%		
6/30/2016		97,412		495,943		398,531		19.6%		111,029	359%		
6/30/2015		85,322		470,323		385,001		18.1%		109,783	351%		
6/30/2014		70,102		456,470		386,368		15.4%		112,947	342%		
6/30/2013		57,832		451,899		394,067		12.8%		109,783	359%		
6/30/2012		49,797		643,539		593,742		7.7%		107,929	550%		
6/30/2011		43,108		644,674		601,566		6.7%		121,736	494%		

Dollar amounts in thousands

	Table VI-7 Fire Department - Schedule of Funding Progress												
Actuarial Valuation Date	1	ctuarial Value of Assets (a)		actuarial Liability (b)	A	nfunded actuarial Liability (UAL) (b - a)	F	ınded Ratio ı ÷ b)	C	Annual Sovered Payroll (d)	UAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll ((b - a) ÷ c)		
6/30/2020 6/30/2019 6/30/2018	\$	70,743 60,967 50,861	\$	270,912 257,891 275,456	\$	200,169 196,925 224,595		26.1% 23.6% 18.5%	\$	93,529 88,953 86,541	214% 221% 260%		
6/30/2017 6/30/2016 6/30/2015 6/30/2014		42,591 37,795 29,243		248,908 282,929 269,429		206,317 245,134 240,186		17.1% 13.4% 10.9% 9.4%		83,517 83,043 74,950	247% 295% 320% 301%		
6/30/2013 6/30/2012 6/30/2011		23,503 17,203 16,587 17,600		250,240 248,627 353,781 359,121		226,737 231,424 337,194 341,521		6.9% 4.7% 4.9%		75,242 74,862 64,696 68,991	309% 521% 495%		



### SECTION VI – ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

	Schedule of Active Member Data - Police													
Valuation	Active	Member Co	ounts		Annual		verage	Change in						
Date	Under 65	Age 65+	Total		Payroll	Ar	ınual Pay	Average Pay						
2020	1,047	0	1,047	\$	147,268,605	\$	140,658	-0.5%						
2019	1,039	0	1,039		146,865,241		141,352	4.4%						
2018	974	0	974		131,888,184		135,409	1.0%						
2017	897	0	897		120,299,327		134,113	10.0%						
2016	911	0	911		111,028,782		121,876	3.1%						
2015	929	0	929		109,868,577		118,265	4.4%						
2014	997	0	997		112,946,895		113,287	6.1%						
2013	1,028	0	1,028		109,783,295		106,793	6.5%						
2012	1,076	0	1,076		107,929,300		100,306	-7.6%						
2011	1,122	0	1,122		121,735,722		108,499							

	Schedule of Active Member Data - Fire													
Valuation	Active	Member Co	ounts		Annual		verage	Change in						
Date	Under 65	Age 65+	Total		Payroll	Annual Pay		Average Pay						
2020	662	0	662	\$	93,529,264	\$	141,283	5.1%						
2019	662	0	662		88,952,979		134,370	3.1%						
2018	664	0	664		86,541,114		130,333	1.0%						
2017	646	0	646		83,370,711		129,057	4.3%						
2016	671	0	671		83,043,310		123,761	7.5%						
2015	648	0	648		74,613,261		115,144	0.5%						
2014	657	0	657		75,241,817		114,523	3.9%						
2013	679	0	679		74,861,955		110,253	9.4%						
2012	642	0	642		64,696,203		100,773	-10.5%						
2011	613	0	613		68,990,536		112,546							



### SECTION VI – ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

# Police Department - Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries Added to and Removed from Rolls

	Beginnir	ng of Period	Added to Rolls	Removed from Rolls	End	eriod	Net	Change	% Increase in	Average	
Period	Count	Annual Subsidy	Count	Count	Count	Annual ubsidy	Count	Annual Subsidy	Annual Subsidy	Annual Subsidy	
Medical											
2019-20	1,242	\$ 11,217	132	21	1,353	\$ 12,410	111	\$ 1,193	10.6%	\$ 9,17	'2
2018-19	1,233	10,633	69	60	1,242	11,217	9	584	5.5%	9,03	1
2017-18	1,198	12,719	73	38	1,233	10,633	35	(2,086)	-16.4%	8,62	:4
2016-17	1,169	12,037	56	27	1,198	12,719	29	681	5.7%	10,61	6
2015-16	1,141	11,641	60	32	1,169	12,037	28	397	3.4%	10,29	7
2014-15	1,106	11,900	71	36	1,141	11,641	35	(259)	-2.2%	10,20	12
2013-14	1,083	11,802	50	27	1,106	11,900	23	98	0.8%	10,75	;9
2012-13	1,037	12,823	66	20	1,083	11,802	46	(1,022)	-8.0%	10,89	7
2011-12	991	12,267	77	31	1,037	12,823	46	557	4.5%	12,36	66
2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	991	12,267	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	L
<u>Dental</u>											
2019-20	1,315	\$ 1,693	71	27	1,359	\$ 1,723	44	\$ 30	1.8%	\$ 1,26	58
2018-19	1,269	1,626	70	24	1,315	1,693	46	67	4.1%	1,28	88
2017-18	1,248	1,605	59	38	1,269	1,626	21	20	1.3%	1,28	31
2016-17	1,220	1,510	50	22	1,248	1,605	28	96	6.3%	1,28	36
2015-16	1,199	1,497	42	21	1,220	1,510	21	12	0.8%	1,23	7
2014-15	1,139	1,428	79	19	1,199	1,497	60	69	4.8%	1,24	9
2013-14	1,111	1,353	45	17	1,139	1,428	28	75	5.6%	1,25	;4
2012-13	1,070	1,384	53	10	1,111	1,353	41	(31)	-2.2%	1,21	8
2011-12	1,028	1,330	50	11	1,070	1,384	42	54	4.0%	1,29	14
2010-11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1,028	1,330	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	L

Annual subsidies are explicit amounts in thousands



#### SECTION VI - ACTUARIAL SECTION OF THE CAFR

#### **Schedule of Retirees and Beneficiaries - Fire** Added to and Removed from Rolls Beginning of Added to Removed Rolls from Rolls End of Period Increase in Period **Net Change** Average Annual Annual Annual Annual Annual Period Count Subsidy Count Count **Count** Subsidy **Count** Subsidy Subsidy Subsidy Medical 2019-20 799 \$ \$ 26 \$ \$ 6,791 41 15 825 7,332 542 8.0% 8,888 2018-19 803 799 8,499 6,518 27 31 6,791 (4)272 4.2% 2017-18 776 7,455 47 20 803 6,518 27 (937)-12.6% 8,117 6,976 18 479 9,607 2016-17 752 42 776 7,455 24 6.9% 2015-16 758 6,965 28 34 752 6,976 (6) 11 0.2% 9,277 2014-15 743 7,095 35 20 758 6,965 15 -1.8% 9,189 (130)7,095 9,549 2013-14 749 7,737 29 35 743 (642)-8.3% (6) 2012-13 752 8,665 28 31 749 7,737 (3) (929)-10.7% 10,329 2011-12 745 8,838 23 752 8,665 7 -2.0% 11,523 30 (173)2010-11 N/A N/A N/A N/A 745 8,838 N/A N/A N/A N/A **Dental** 2019-20 828 \$ 839 \$ 970 11 \$ 7 1,156 963 26 15 0.7% 2018-19 828 962 828 963 0 0.2% 1,163 26 26 1 2017-18 808 942 39 19 828 962 20 20 2.1% 1,162 2016-17 798 893 20 808 942 10 49 1,166 30 5.5% 793 798 5 2 2015-16 891 23 18 893 0.2% 1,120 2014-15 778 876 29 14 793 891 15 15 1.8% 1,124 2013-14 779 949 23 24 778 876 (73)-7.7% 1,126 (1)779 949

Annual subsidies are explicit amounts in thousands

1,015

995

N/A

18

20

N/A

23

7

N/A

785

770

785

770

N/A

2012-13

2011-12

2010-11



(66)

20

N/A

(6)

15

N/A

1,015

995

-6.5%

2.0%

N/A

1,218

1,293

N/A

### **APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

### **Member Data**

Police Department	- Sum	mary of Me	mbe	r Data	
Valuation Date	June	e 30, 2020	Ju	ne 30, 2019	% Change
Active Employees Eligible for Full Bene	fits				
Count		578		629	-8.11%
Average Age		46.4		46	0.82%
Average OPEB Benefit Service		18.4		18.0	2.21%
Total Payroll	\$ 9	00,768,550	\$	98,280,535	-7.64%
Active Employees Eligible for Catastro	phic D	isability Or	ıly		
Count		469		410	14.39%
Average Age		31.7		31.4	0.94%
Average OPEB Benefit Service		3.3		3.0	11.06%
Total Payroll	\$ 5	6,500,055	\$	48,584,706	16.29%
Term Vested Members**		9		13	-30.77%
Retirees and Surviving Spouses with Co	verag	e*			
Medical and Dental Coverage		1,197		1,157	3.46%
Medical Coverage Only		1		1	0.00%
In-Lieu Medical with Dental Coverage		141		76	85.53%
Dental Coverage Only		21		82	-74.39%
In-Lieu Medical Coverage Only		14		8	75.00%
Total		1,374		1,324	3.78%
Total Count		2,430		2,376	2.27%

<sup>\*</sup> Counts do not include dependent spouses.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes only those members with 20 or more years of OPEB benefit service.

### **APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Fire Department -	Sun	ımary of Mer	nbe	r Data	
Valuation Date	Jι	ine 30, 2020	Jı	ine 30, 2019	% Change
Active Employees Eligible for Full Bene	fits				
Count		495		512	-3.32%
Average Age		46.5		46	1.58%
Average OPEB Benefit Service		16.9		16.3	3.77%
Total Payroll	\$	73,995,442	\$	72,439,802	2.15%
Active Employees Eligible for Catastrop	hic	Disability On	ly		
Count		167	•	150	11.33%
Average Age		34.9		34.6	0.81%
Average OPEB Benefit Service		4.3		3.9	11.23%
Total Payroll	\$	19,533,822	\$	16,513,177	18.29%
Term Vested Members**		1		2	-50.00%
Retirees and Surviving Spouses with Co	vera	ıge*			
Medical and Dental Coverage		781		772	1.17%
Medical Coverage Only		0		0	N/A
In-Lieu Medical with Dental Coverage		40		24	66.67%
Dental Coverage Only		18		32	-43.75%
In-Lieu Medical Coverage Only		4		3	33.33%
Total		843		831	1.44%
Total Count		1,506		1,495	0.74%

<sup>\*</sup> Counts do not include dependent spouses.



<sup>\*\*</sup> Includes only those members with 20 or more years of OPEB benefit service.

### **APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Pol	ice Departm	ent - Status	Reconcili	ation		
		<b>Terminated</b>		Surviving		
	Active	Vested	Retiree	Spouse	Disabled	Total
Beginning of Year	1,039	13	747	122	455	2,376
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rehires	4	0	0	0	0	4
Non-Vested Termination	(28)	0	0	0	0	(28)
Vested Terminations	(1)	1	0	0	0	0
Service Retirements	(47)	(5)	52	0	0	0
Disabled Retirements	(2)	0	1	0	2	1
New Survivors	0	0	0	11	0	11
No longer covered	(8)	0	(13)	(3)	(8)	(32)
Data corrections	0	0	5	0	3	8
New Catastrophic Disability	90	0	0	0	0	90
End of Year	1,047	9	792	130	452	2,430

Counts do not include dependent spouses

Counts include members in In-Lieu credit program and those eligible for catastrophic disability only

Fir	e Departme	ent - Status F	Reconcilia	tion		
		Terminated		Surviving		
	Active	Vested	Retiree	Spouse	Disabled	Total
Beginning of Year	662	2	255	126	450	1,495
New Hires	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rehires	2	0	0	0	0	2
Non-Vested Termination	(3)	0	0	0	0	(3)
Vested Terminations	0	0	0	0	0	0
Service Retirements	(18)	0	18	0	0	0
Disabled Retirements	0	0	(4)	0	4	0
New Survivors	0	0	0	7	0	7
No longer covered	(2)	0	(1)	(3)	(11)	(17)
Data corrections	0	(1)	2	0	0	1
New Catastrophic Disability	21	0	0	0	0	21
End of Year	662	1	270	130	443	1,506

Counts do not include dependent spouses

Counts include members in In-Lieu credit program and those eligible for catastrophic disability only



### **APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

### Member Data as of June 30, 2020:

Ago	Police D		t - Active I Years of OF			r Full Benef	fits	
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 +	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
30 to 34	0	20	2	0	0	0	0	22
35 to 39	2	14	62	4	0	0	0	82
40 to 44	0	8	33	46	16	0	0	103
45 to 49	0	5	28	44	117	13	0	207
50 to 54	0	0	10	15	85	25	0	135
55 to 59	0	0	2	4	13	7	1	27
60 to 64	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2
65 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	2	47	137	114	231	46	1	578

Age	Fire De		- Active E			Full Benefi	ts	
Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 +	Total
Under 25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
25 to 29	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
30 to 34	0	11	4	0	0	0	0	15
35 to 39	0	38	33	0	0	0	0	71
40 to 44	0	25	61	24	2	0	0	112
45 to 49	1	3	25	43	44	6	0	122
50 to 54	0	3	15	31	76	20	1	146
55 to 59	0	0	0	6	12	7	2	27
60 to 64	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
65 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	1	81	138	105	134	33	3	495




### APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION


Police Dep	partment -		nployees E Years of OP		-	nic Disability	Benefits	Only
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30 +	Total
Under 25	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
25 to 29	171	9	0	0	0	0	0	180
30 to 34	110	38	0	0	0	0	0	148
35 to 39	40	17	4	0	0	0	0	61
40 to 44	15	5	2	2	0	0	0	24
45 to 49	5	2	2	1	3	1	0	14
50 to 54	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	3
55 to 59	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	4
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
65 and up	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	378	71	8	4	7	1	0	469

Fire Dep	artment - 2		ployees Eli Years of OP			c Disability	Benefits (	Only
Age Group	< 5	5 - 9	10 - 14	15 - 19	20 - 24	25 - 29	30+	Total
Under 25	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
25 to 29	28	3	0	0	0	0	0	31
30 to 34	55	7	0	0	0	0	0	62
35 to 39	25	8	5	0	0	0	0	38
40 to 44	9	5	6	0	0	0	0	20
45 to 49	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	6
50 to 54	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	4
55 to 59	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2
60 to 64	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<u>65 and up</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Total	125	26	12	2	2	0	0	167



#### APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION








**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION** 

Police Department - Retirees, Disabled Retirees and Surviving Spouses						
Age Group	Males	edical Insurance Females	Total	<u>Dental</u> <u>Insurance</u>		
Under 50	27	9	36	53		
50 to 54	77	12	89	141		
55 to 59	155	32	187	224		
60 to 64	168	22	190	220		
65 to 69	203	14	217	227		
70 to 74	191	36	227	236		
75 to 79	109	21	130	133		
80 to 84	61	18	79	81		
85 to 89	16	12	28	28		
Over 90 _	7	8	15	<u> 16</u>		
Total	1,014	184	1,198	1,359		

Counts do not include dependent spouses






**APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION** 

Fire Department - Retirees, Disabled Retirees and Surviving Spouses					
Age Group	Males Males	edical Insurance Females	Total	Dental <u>Insurance</u>	
Under 50	5	3	8	10	
50 to 54	23	6	29	38	
55 to 59	72	11	83	102	
60 to 64	83	10	93	96	
65 to 69	88	16	104	111	
70 to 74	124	28	152	156	
75 to 79	132	34	166	170	
80 to 84	73	14	87	93	
85 to 89	24	13	37	39	
Over 90	11_	11	22	24_	
Total	635	146	781	839	

Counts do not include dependent spouses





### **APPENDIX A – MEMBERSHIP INFORMATION**

Police & Fire Department Vested Terminations*					
Age Group	Police	Fire	Total		
Under 45	0	0	0		
45 to 49	2	1	3		
50 to 54	7	0	7		
55 to 59	0	0	0		
60 to 64	0	0	0		
Over 65	0	0	0_		
Total	9	1	10		

<sup>\*</sup>Includes only those term vested participants with at least 20 years of OPEB benefit service



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### **Economic Assumptions**

The expected return on Plan assets and per person cost trend assumptions shown below were adopted by the Board of Administration with our input at the January 7, 2021 Board meeting. Price inflation and wage inflation assumptions were adopted at the December 3, 2020 Board meeting based upon our recommendations. Please refer to the presentation for that meeting for details, including the rationale for each assumption.

#### 1. Expected Return on Plan Assets

6.25% per year. The Board expects a long-term rate of return of 6.2% based on Meketa's 20-year capital market assumptions and the System's current investment policy.

#### 2. Per Person Cost Trends

Medical trends were developed using the 2020 Society of Actuaries Long-run Medical Cost Trend Model with the following parameters:

Initial trend rate:

Non-Medicare Eligible:	8.00%
Medicare Eligible:	4.00%
Inflation:	2.25%
Real GDP per Capita:	1.50%
Excess Medical Cost Growth:	1.10%
Expected GDP Share in 2029:	20.0%

Expected GDP Share in 2029: 20.0% Resistance Point: 20.0% Year limited to GDP growth: 2076



APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

				Annual Ir	ıcrease				
To Calendar	Pre-	Medicare		Part B	To Calendar	Pre-	Medicare		Part B
Year	Medicare	Eligible	Dental	Premiums	Year	Medicare	Eligible	Dental	Premiums
2021		Actual Prem	iums Used		2051	4.45	4.45	3.50	3.87
2022	7.66%	4.10%	3.50%	6.20%	2052	4.44	4.44	3.50	3.87
2023	7.32	4.21	3.50	5.71	2053	4.43	4.43	3.50	3.86
2024	6.98	4.31	3.50	5.94	2054	4.42	4.42	3.50	3.86
2025	6.63	4.41	3.50	6.06	2055	4.41	4.41	3.50	3.86
2026	6.29	4.51	3.50	5.66	2056	4.40	4.40	3.50	3.85
2027	5.95	4.62	3.50	5.86	2057	4.39	4.39	3.50	3.85
2028	5.61	4.72	3.50	5.73	2058	4.39	4.39	3.50	3.84
2029	5.27	4.82	3.50	5.69	2059	4.38	4.38	3.50	3.84
2030	4.93	4.93	3.50	5.57	2060	4.37	4.37	3.50	3.84
2031	4.93	4.93	3.50	5.45	2061	4.36	4.36	3.50	3.83
2032	4.81	4.81	3.50	5.33	2062	4.35	4.35	3.50	3.83
2033	4.76	4.76	3.50	5.21	2063	4.34	4.34	3.50	3.82
2034	4.73	4.73	3.50	5.09	2064	4.34	4.34	3.50	3.82
2035	4.70	4.70	3.50	4.97	2065	4.33	4.33	3.50	3.82
2036	4.67	4.67	3.50	4.85	2066	4.32	4.32	3.50	3.81
2037	4.65	4.65	3.50	4.73	2067	4.32	4.32	3.50	3.81
2038	4.63	4.63	3.50	4.62	2068	4.26	4.26	3.50	3.80
2039	4.61	4.61	3.50	4.50	2069	4.20	4.20	3.50	3.80
2040	4.59	4.59	3.50	4.38	2070	4.14	4.14	3.50	3.80
2041	4.58	4.58	3.50	4.26	2071	4.09	4.09	3.50	3.79
2042	4.56	4.56	3.50	4.14	2072	4.04	4.04	3.50	3.79
2043	4.55	4.55	3.50	4.02	2073	3.98	3.98	3.50	3.78
2044	4.53	4.53	3.50	3.90	2074	3.93	3.93	3.50	3.78
2045	4.52	4.52	3.50	3.90	2075	3.88	3.88	3.50	3.78
2046	4.51	4.51	3.50	3.89	2076	3.83	3.83	3.50	3.77
2047	4.50	4.50	3.50	3.89	2077+	3.78	3.78	3.50	3.89
2048	4.48	4.48	3.50	3.88					
2049	4.47	4.47	3.50	3.88					
2050	4.46	4.46	3.50	3.88					

Actual premium increases for 2021 were reflected in the valuation. Deductibles, Co-payments, Out-of-Pocket Maximums, and Annual Maximum (where applicable) are assumed to increase at the above trend rates.

### 3. Changes Since the Last Valuation

The per-person cost trends were updated.

The discount rate assumption was lowered from 6.50% to 6.25%.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### **Demographic Assumptions**

The OPEB assumptions were adopted by the Board of Administration at the January 7, 2021 Board meeting based on our recommendations. The demographic assumptions shared with the pension plan shown below were adopted at the November 7, 2019 Board meeting based on recommendations from our experience study covering Plan experience through June 30, 2019. Please refer to the full experience study report for details, including the rationale for each assumption.

### 1. Salary Increase Rate

Wage inflation component: 3.00% for Police Department Members

4.25% through FYE 2022 and 3.00% for all other years for

Fire Department Members

The following merit component is added to wage inflation, based on an individual member's years of service:

Merit Salary Increases				
Years of Service	Merit/ Longevity			
0	6.50%			
1	6.25			
2	5.75			
3	5.25			
4	4.50			
5	3.75			
6	2.75			
7	1.75			
8	1.25			
9	1.00			
10	0.75			
11+	0.50			

### 2. Administrative Expenses

For FYE 2022, trust administrative expenses are assumed to equal \$41 per full benefit member and are assumed to increase at the wage inflation assumption of 3.00% per annum.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

#### 3. Retirement Rates

Rates of retirement are based on age and service according to the following Tables. Tier 1 rates only apply when the member is eligible for unreduced pension benefits.

Tier 1 Rates of Retirement by Age and Service					
	Pol	lice	Fi	re	
Age	<30 Years	30+ Years	<30 Years	30+ Years	
50	55.0%	100.0%	35.0%	100.0%	
51	45.0	100.0	35.0	100.0	
52	40.0	100.0	35.0	100.0	
53	30.0	100.0	35.0	100.0	
54	30.0	100.0	35.0	100.0	
55	30.0	100.0	30.0	100.0	
56	30.0	100.0	25.0	100.0	
57	30.0	100.0	20.0	100.0	
58 - 61	50.0	100.0	27.5	100.0	
62+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Tier 2 Rates of Retirement by Age and Service Police						
	5 – 19	20 - 24	25 - 29			
Age	Years	Years	Years	30+ Years		
50 - 56	2.0%	2.0%	2.0%	5.0%		
57 - 59	7.5	10.0	20.0	100.0		
60 - 61	10.0	20.0	35.0	100.0		
62 - 64	25.0	50.0	75.0	100.0		
65+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0		

Tier 2 Rates of Retirement by Age and Service Fire					
A	5 – 19	20 – 24 Vecasis	25 – 29 Vacant	20 1 Vanus	
Age	Years	Years	Years	30+ Years	
50 - 56	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	2.5%	
57 – 59	5.0	7.5	15.0	100.0	
60 - 61	7.5	15.0	25.0	100.0	
62 - 64	20.0	35.0	50.0	100.0	
65+	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	

Tier 1 vested terminated members are assumed to retire at age 50 if they have 25 or more years of service or at age 55 if they have less than 25 years of service. Tier 2 vested terminated members are assumed to retire at age 60.



### APPENDIX B - ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### 4. Rates of Termination

Sample rates of refund/termination are show in the following table.

	Rates of Termination <sup>*</sup>	ŧ
Service	Police	Fire
0	13.75%	8.50%
1	11.75	4.00
2	10.00	2.75
3	8.50	1.75
4	7.50	1.25
5	6.75	1.00
6	6.00	0.90
7	5.50	0.80
8	5.00	0.70
9	4.75	0.60
10	4.50	0.50
11	4.25	0.50
12	3.75	0.50
13	3.25	0.50
14	2.75	0.50
15	2.25	0.50
16	1.75	0.50
17	1.50	0.50
18	1.25	0.50
19+	1.00	0.50

<sup>\*</sup>Termination rates do not apply once a member is eligible for unreduced retirement.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

#### 5. Rates of Mortality

Mortality rates for actives, retirees, beneficiaries, terminated vested, and reciprocals are based on the sex-distinct employee and annuitant mortality tables shown below. Future mortality improvements are reflected by applying the SOA MP-2019 projection scale on a generational basis from the base year of 2010 (2009 for beneficiary tables).

Category	Base Mortality Tabl Male	es Female
Healthy Retirees	1.002 times the 2010 Public Safety Above Median Income Mortality Table (Pub(s)-2010(A)) for Healthy Retirees	1.002 times the 2010 Public Safety Above Median Income Mortality Table (Pub(s)-2010(A)) for Healthy Retirees
Disabled Retiree	0.915 times the Public Safety Mortality Table (PubS-2010) for Disabled Retirees	0.915 times the Public Safety Mortality Table (PubS-2010) for Disabled Retirees
Beneficiaries	0.948 times the CalPERS 2009 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table	1.048 times the CalPERS 2009 Healthy Annuitant Mortality Table
Healthy Non-Annuitant	0.979 times the 2010 Public Safety Above Median Income Mortality Table (Pub(s)-2010(A)) for Healthy Employees	0.979 times the 2010 Public Safety Above Median Income Mortality Table (Pub(s)-2010(A)) for Healthy Employees

### 6. Disability Rates

For Police, disability rates are equal to the CalPERS police industrial and non-industrial rates for public agencies multiplied by 90% for ages under 50 and 140% for ages 50 and older. For Fire, disability rates are equal to the CalPERS fire industrial and non-industrial rates for public agencies multiplied by 90% for ages under 50 and 180% for ages 50 and older. Sample disability rates of active participants are provided in the following table.



APPENDIX B - ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Rate	s of Disability at Selected	Ages
Age	Police	Fire
25	0.16%	0.03%
30	0.45	0.08
35	0.74	0.15
40	1.03	0.28
45	1.32	0.50
50	2.70	5.08
55	6.88	7.54
60	8.71	10.77
65	10.47	14.84

100% of disabilities are assumed to be duty related.

#### 7. Percent of Retirees Electing Coverage

70% of active members are assumed to elect coverage upon retirement and 30% are assumed to enter the In-Lieu credit program. 50% of term vested members are assumed to elect coverage upon retirement and 50% are assumed to enter the in-Lieu credit program. Retirees are assumed to continue in their current plan.

Members who elect to receive the In-Lieu credits are assumed to remain in the In-Lieu credit program for five years, after which they are assumed to elect coverage and use their In-Lieu credits. Their medical tier election assumptions are provided in the following table.

Assumed Medical Tier Elections for Future Retirees who Elect In-Lieu Coverage*						
	% Electing		% Electing			
Pre-Medicare Retirees		Medicare-Eligible Retirees				
· Retiree Only	25%	· Retiree Only	50%			
Retiree Plus Spouse	20%	Retiree Plus Spouse	50%			
· Retiree Plus Family	55%	· Retiree Plus Family	0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Eligible for coverage

There were no changes to plans in 2020.

Retirees who are not yet age 65 are assumed to be eligible for Medicare when they reach age 65 and are assumed to enroll in the Medicare-eligible plan corresponding to their current Pre-Medicare plan election. Future retirees are assumed to elect plans in the proportion shown in the following table.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Assumed Plan Elections for Future Retirees*						
% Electing						
Pre-Medicare Medical Plans		Medicare-Eligible Medical Plans				
· Kaiser DHMO	4%	· Kaiser Senior Advantage	43%			
· Kaiser \$25 Co-pay	62%	· Anthem Medicare HMO	1%			
· Kaiser HDHP	6%	· Anthem Medicare PPO	56%			
· Anthem DHMO	2%					
· Anthem \$20 Co-pay	9%					
Anthem HDHP PPO	7%	<b>Dental Plans (All Retirees)</b>				
· Anthem Select PPO	8%	Delta Dental PPO	99%			
· Anthem Classic PPO	2%	· DeltaCare HMO	1%			

<sup>\*</sup> Eligible for coverage and elect coverage

### 8. Married Percentage

Percentage Married					
Gender Percentage					
Males	85%				
Females	85%				

### 9. Dependent Age

For current retirees, actual spouse date of birth was used when available. For future retirees, husbands are assumed to be three years older than their wives.

### 10. Enrollment by Rating Tier

For current retirees, their actual enrollment by rating tier is used to value the explicit subsidy. For future retirees, the following assumptions are used:

Assumed Rating Tier Elections for Future Retirees						
	Single	Emp/Sp	Emp/Ch	Family		
Pre-Medicare Medical Plans						
Males	20%	34%	7%	39%		
Females	52%	13%	22%	13%		
Medicare Medical Plans						
Males	27%	73%	0%	0%		
Females	74%	26%	0%	0%		

100% of employees with a spouse will elect spouse coverage in a dental plan at retirement.



### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### 11. Changes Since the Last Valuation

Plan elections assumptions and the administrative expense assumption were updated.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### Claim and Health Plan Benefit Expense Assumptions

The claim and expense assumptions shown below were adopted by the Board of Administration at the January 7, 2021 Board meeting based upon our recommendations.

The claims costs are based on the fully insured premiums charged to the City for the active and retiree population in 2020 and 2021. For non-Medicare adults, the premiums for each coverage tier (retiree only, retiree plus spouse, retiree plus child(ren) and retiree plus family) were blended based on enrollment data for the 2020 calendar year. The same process was used for Medicare adults, except only Medicare-eligible retirees were included. The resulting per person per month (PPPM) cost was then adjusted using age curves. The pre-Medicare adult claims curves were then loaded for the cost of children; the load for children decreases by retiree age since older retirees have fewer children. The impact of children on Medicare costs was assumed to be de minimis. All claims costs are developed jointly for the Federated, Police, and Fire Postemployment Healthcare Plans of the City of San José but the load for children for Police and Fire was developed separately from the load for Federated.

This report does not reflect future changes in benefits, penalties, taxes, or administrative costs that may be required as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010 related legislation and regulations.

### 1. Average Annual Claims and Expense Assumptions

The following claim and expense assumptions were developed as of July 1, 2020 based on the premiums for 2020 and 2021. The explicit subsidy amount (100% of the premium for the lowest cost health plan available to active City employees) is assumed to grow based on the Pre-Medicare cost trend rates.

The following tables show the claims costs for each medical plan as of the valuation date:

Sample Claims Costs - Non-Medicare Eligible								
	<u>Kai</u>	<u>ser</u>	Anthem	<u>HMO</u>	Anthem PPO			
Age	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female		
40	\$9,859	\$12,126	\$10,860	\$13,267	\$12,467	\$15,764		
45	10,089	11,840	11,025	12,885	13,178	15,725		
50	10,670	12,094	11,564	13,076	14,401	16,472		
55	11,819	12,823	12,705	13,771	16,449	17,909		
60	13,821	13,475	14,752	14,384	19,738	19,234		
64	16,275	13,246	17,296	14,079	23,609	19,203		



#### APPENDIX B - ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

Sample Claims Costs - Medicare Eligible									
	Kaiser Se	nior Adv	Anthem	HMO	<b>Anthem PPO</b>				
Age	Male Female		Male	Female	Male	Female			
65	\$3,080	\$2,717	\$4,837	\$4,267	\$5,303	\$4,678			
70	3,271	2,770	5,137	4,350	5,632	4,770			
75	3,760	3,116	5,904	4,893	6,473	5,364			
80	4,269	3,533	6,704	5,548	7,350	6,083			
85	4,611	3,861	7,240	6,063	7,938	6,648			

<b>Sample Claims Costs - Dental</b>				
<b>Dental Blended</b>				
Age	Unisex			
All	698.92			

#### 2. Medicare Part D Subsidy

Per GASB guidance, the Part D Subsidy has not been reflected in this valuation.

#### 3. Medicare Part B

All Medicare eligible retirees are assumed to participate in Medicare Part B.

### 4. Medicare Eligibility

All retirees who turn age 65 are assumed to be eligible for Medicare.

#### 5. Annual Limits

Assumed to increase at the same rate as trend.

### 6. Lifetime Maximums

Are not assumed to have any financial impact.

#### 7. Geography

Implicitly assumed to remain the same as current retirees.

#### 8. Retiree Contributions

Retirees pay the difference between the actual premium for the elected medical plan and the lowest cost medical plan available to active members, if the retiree is eligible to receive the explicit subsidy. No retiree contributions are required for dental.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

### 9. Changes Since the Last Valuation

All claims costs were updated to reflect the changes in plan premiums and the populations covered. The claims curves were developed jointly for the Federated, Police, and Fire Postemployment Healthcare Plans of the City of San José. However, prior to age 65 the Police and Fire claims curves reflect that this population has a higher number of children covered.



#### APPENDIX B – ACTUARIAL ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

#### **Contribution Allocation Procedure**

The contribution allocation procedure primarily consists of an actuarial cost method, an asset smoothing method, and an amortization method as described below.

#### 1. Actuarial Cost Method

The Entry Age actuarial cost method was used for active employees, whereby the normal cost is computed as the level annual percentage of pay required to fund the retirement benefits between each member's date of hire and assumed retirement. The Actuarial Liability is the difference between the present value of future benefits and the present value of future normal costs. Or, equivalently, it is the accumulation of normal costs for all periods prior to the valuation date. The normal cost and Actuarial Liability are calculated on an individual basis. The sum of the individual amounts is the normal cost and Actuarial Liability for the Plan. The Actuarial Liability for the Plan represents the target amount of assets the Plan should have as of the valuation date according to the actuarial cost method.

#### 2. Asset Valuation Method

The Actuarial Value of Assets equals the Market Value of Assets.

#### 3. Amortization Method

The Unfunded Actuarial Liability is the difference between the Actuarial Liability and the Actuarial Value of Assets. The Unfunded Actuarial Liability as of June 30, 2017 was amortized as a level percent of payroll over a closed 25-year period. All future amortization bases will be amortized over 25-year periods with a 3-year phase-in and phase-out.

#### 4. Contributions

The City will contribute the annual implicit subsidy as part of active employee health premiums and will prefund the explicit subsidy based on the normal cost, administrative expenses, and the amortization payment described above less expected employee contributions. The City has the option to limit its contribution to no more than 11% of total payroll.

Active members that are eligible for full benefits will contribute 8.00% of pay.

#### 5. Changes Since the Last Valuation

None.



51

#### APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

#### POSTEMPLOYMENT HEALTHCARE PLAN

Eligibility: Employees hired before July 2013 that did not elect to opt-in to the Voluntary

Employees' Beneficiary Association (VEBA).

#### **Medical/Dental:**

Employees who retire (include deferred vested members) with at least 15 years of service with the City ("OPEB benefit service"), or with a monthly pension equal to at least 37.5% of final compensation, are eligible to elect medical coverage upon retirement. Tier 1 employees (hired before August 4, 2013) are eligible for unreduced service retirement at age 55 with 20 years of service, age 50 with 25 years of service, age 70 with no service requirement, or any age with 30 years of service. Reduced service retirement is available at age 50 with 20 years of service. Tier 2 employees (hired on or after August 4, 2013) are eligible for unreduced service retirement at age 60 with 10 years of service or reduced service retirement at age 50 with 10 years of service. Service credited through reciprocity agreements counts towards an employee's required service to retire, but only service with the City counts towards the required years of service to receive OPEB benefits.

Employees who become disabled with at least 15 years of service or have a monthly pension equal to at least 37.5% of final compensation are eligible to elect medical coverage upon retirement.

Spouses or domestic partners of retired members are allowed to participate if they were enrolled in the City's medical plan at the time of the member's retirement. Dependent children are eligible to receive coverage until the age of 26.

Surviving spouses/domestic partners/children of deceased members are eligible for coverage if the following conditions are met:

The employee has 15 years of service at time of death or is entitled to a monthly pension of at least 37.5% of final compensation; and,

Both the member and the survivors were enrolled in the active medical plan immediately before death; and,

The survivor will receive a monthly pension benefit.

Employees who separate from service after July 5, 1992 with 20 years of service, leaving contributions in the retirement plan, are eligible to elect medical and/or dental coverage upon retirement.



#### **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

### **Benefits for Retirees:**

**Medical:** The Retirement System, through the medical benefit account, pays 100% of the

premium for the lowest cost health plan available to active City employees. The

member pays the difference if another plan is elected.

To the extent that the elected plan premium is less than the maximum subsidy amount, Medicare-eligible retirees receive reimbursement of Medicare Part B

premiums for themselves and their covered spouse, if applicable.

**Dental:** The Retirement System, through the medical benefit account, pays 100% of the

dental insurance premiums.

**Premiums:** Monthly premiums before adjustments for 2020 and 2021 are as follows.

2020 Monthly Premiums						
	Single	Emp/Sp	Emp/Chd	Family		
Medical						
Non-Medicare Monthly Rates						
Kaiser DHMO	\$574.36	\$1,148.72	\$1,005.14	\$1,723.08		
Kaiser \$25 Co-pay	701.46	1,402.92	1,227.54	2,104.38		
Kaiser HDHP	483.94	967.88	846.90	1,451.82		
Anthem HMO \$20 Co-pay	671.12	1,476.46	1,208.02	2,080.46		
Anthem DHMO	517.42	1,138.34	931.36	1,604.02		
Anthem HDHP	905.36	1,991.82	1,629.66	2,806.66		
Anthem Select PPO	1,469.66	3,233.24	2,645.38	4,555.94		
Anthem Classic PPO	1,571.82	3,458.02	2,829.28	4,872.66		
Medicare-Eligible Monthly Rates						
Kaiser Senior Advantage	\$289.14	\$578.28	\$578.28	\$867.42		
Anthem Medicare PPO	508.56	1,017.12	1,017.12	1,525.68		
Anthem Medicare HMO	453.55	907.10	907.10	1,360.65		
Dental						
Delta Dental PPO	\$50.88	\$111.92	\$122.12	\$157.72		
DeltaCare HMO	24.44	48.86	42.74	73.30		



### **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

2021 Monthly Premiums						
	Single	Emp/Sp	Emp/Chd	Family		
Medical						
Non-Medicare Monthly Rates						
Kaiser DHMO	\$610.44	\$1,220.88	\$1,068.28	\$1,831.32		
Kaiser \$25 Co-pay	745.52	1,491.04	1,304.64	2,236.56		
Kaiser HDHP	514.34	1,028.68	900.10	1,543.02		
Anthem HMO \$20 Co-pay	724.82	1,594.58	1,304.68	2,246.90		
Anthem DHMO	558.80	1,229.40	1,005.86	1,732.34		
Anthem HDHP	977.80	2,151.16	1,760.04	3,031.20		
Anthem Select PPO	1,587.22	3,491.90	2,857.00	4,920.42		
Anthem Classic PPO	1,697.56	3,734.68	3,055.62	5,262.48		
Medicare-Eligible Monthly Rates						
Kaiser Senior Advantage	\$262.90	\$525.80	\$525.80	\$788.70		
Anthem Medicare PPO	480.04	960.08	960.08	1,440.12		
Anthem Medicare HMO	425.71	851.42	851.42	1,277.13		
Dental						
Delta Dental PPO	\$50.88	\$111.92	\$122.12	\$157.72		
DeltaCare HMO	24.44	48.86	42.74	73.30		

### **Summary of 2021 Benefit Plans:**

Medicare-Eligible Plans:	Kaiser	Anthem HMO	Anthem PPO
Annual Out-of-Pocket	Single \$1,500	\$1,000 per	\$0
Maximum	Family \$3,000	member	ΦU
Annual Deductible	None	None	None
Office Visit copay	\$25	\$25	\$0
Emergency Room copay	\$50	\$100	\$0
Hospital Care copay	\$250	\$100	\$0
Prescription Drug retail copay			
(30-day supply):			
Generic	\$10	\$10	\$10
Brand	\$10	\$25	\$25
Non-Formulary	N/A	\$40	\$40



### APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

Non-Medicare Plans:	Kaiser High Deductible	Kaiser DHMO	Kaiser \$25 Co-Pay	Anthem \$20 Co-Pay HMO	Anthem DHMO	Anthem Select PPO (In- Network)	Anthem Classic PPO (In- Network)	Anthem High Deductible (In- Network)
Annual Out-of-Pocket Maximum (single/family)	\$5,950/ \$11,900	\$4,000/ \$8,000	\$1,500/ \$3,000	\$1,500/ \$3,000	\$4,000/ \$8,000	\$2,100/ \$4,200	\$2,100/ \$4,200	\$4,000/ \$8,000
Annual Deductible (single/family)	\$3,000/ \$6,000	\$1,500/ \$3,000	None	None	\$1,500/ \$3,000	\$100/\$200	\$100/\$200	\$2,500/ \$5,000
Office Visit copay	30%*	\$40	\$25	\$20	\$20	\$25	\$25	20%*
Emergency Room copay	30%*	30%*	\$100	\$100	30%*	\$100	\$100	20%*
Hospital Care copay	30%*	30%*	\$100	\$100	30%*	10%*	10%*	20%*
Prescription Drug retail copay (30-day supply):								
Generic Brand Non-Formulary	\$10 \$30 N/A	\$10 \$30 N/A	\$10 \$25 N/A	\$10 \$30 \$60	\$10 \$30 \$60	\$10 \$25 \$40	\$10 \$25 \$40	\$10 \$30 \$60

<sup>\*</sup> After deductible is paid.



#### APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS

### **Cost-Sharing Provisions:**

It is assumed for the purpose of this valuation that the City of San José will in the future maintain a consistent level of cost sharing for benefits with the retirees. This may be achieved by adjusting benefit provisions, contributions or both.



#### **APPENDIX C – SUMMARY OF PLAN PROVISIONS**

### CATASTROPHIC DISABILITY HEALTHCARE PROGRAM

Eligibility: Employees hired after June 2013 or employees who elected to opt-in to the

Voluntary Employees' Beneficiary Association (VEBA).

Contributions: Employees are required to make mandatory contributions into the VEBA on a

pre-tax basis.

Medical: VEBA funds can be used to reimburse members for eligible healthcare

expenses.

VEBA members on service-connected disability will receive benefits from the Postemployment Healthcare Plan only up to age 65 once VEBA funds are

exhausted

Note: The summary of major plan provisions is designed to outline principal plan benefits. If the Department of Retirement Services should find the plan summary not in accordance with the actual provisions, the actuary should immediately be alerted so the proper provisions are valued.



57

### APPENDIX D - GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### 1. Actuarial Assumptions

Assumptions as to the occurrence of future events affecting pension costs, such as: mortality, withdrawal, and retirement; changes in compensation; rates of investment earnings, and asset appreciation or depreciation; procedures used to determine the Actuarial Value of Assets; and, other relevant items.

#### 2. Actuarial Cost Method

A procedure for determining the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses and for developing an allocation of such value to each year of service, usually in the form of a normal cost and an Actuarial Liability.

#### 3. Actuarial Gain (Loss)

A measure of the difference between actual experience and that expected based upon a set of actuarial assumptions during the period between two actuarial valuation dates, as determined in accordance with a particular actuarial cost method.

#### 4. Actuarial Liability

The portion of the actuarial present value of projected benefits that will not be paid by future normal costs. It represents the value of the past normal costs with interest to the valuation date.

### 5. Actuarial Present Value (Present Value)

The value as of a given date of a future amount or series of payments. The actuarial present value discounts the payments to the given date at the assumed investment return and includes the probability of the payment being made. As a simple example: assume you owe \$100 to a friend one year from now. Also, assume there is a 1% probability of your friend dying over the next year, in which case you will not be obligated to pay him. If the assumed investment return is 10%, the actuarial present value is:

Amount Probability 
$$\frac{1}{(1+Discount Rate)}$$
  
\$100 x  $(1-.01)$   $1/(1+.1)$  = \$90

#### 6. Actuarial Valuation

The determination, as of a specified date, of the normal cost, Actuarial Liability, Actuarial Value of Assets, and related actuarial present values for a pension plan.



58

#### APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### 7. Actuarial Value of Assets

The value of cash, investments, and other property belonging to a pension plan as used by the actuary for the purpose of an actuarial valuation. The purpose of an Actuarial Value of Assets is to smooth out fluctuations in market values. This way long-term costs are not distorted by short-term fluctuations in the market.

#### 8. Amortization Payment

The portion of the pension plan contribution, which is designed to pay interest and principal on the Unfunded Actuarial Liability in order to pay for that liability in a given number of years.

#### 9. Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method

A method under which the actuarial present value of the projected benefits of each individual included in an actuarial valuation is allocated as a level percentage of pay from the individual's date of entry into the plan to the individual's assumed cessation of employment.

#### 10. Normal Cost

That portion of the actuarial present value of pension plan benefits and expenses which is allocated to a valuation year by the actuarial cost method.

#### 11. Unfunded Actuarial Liability

The excess of the Actuarial Liability over the Actuarial Value of Assets.

#### 12. Funded Percentage

The ratio of the Actuarial Value of Assets to the Actuarial Liability.

#### 13. Mortality Table

A set of percentages that estimate the probability of death at a particular point in time. Typically, the rates are annual and based on age and sex.

#### 14. Discount Rate

The assumed interest rate used for converting projecting dollar related values to a present value as of the valuation date.



### APPENDIX D – GLOSSARY OF TERMS

#### 15. Medical Trend

The assumed increase in dollar related values in the future due to the increase in the cost of health care.



### APPENDIX E – LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)

Actuarial Valuation Report (AVR)

Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

Coordination of Benefits (COB)

Deductible and Coinsurance (DC)

Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP)

Durable Medical Equipment (DME)

Employee Assistance Program (EAP)

Employee Benefits Division (EBD)

Fiscal Year Ending (FYE)

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB)

Hospital Emergency Room (ER)

In-Network (INN)

Inpatient (IP)

Medicare Eligible (ME)

Net Other Postemployment Benefit (NOO)

Non-Medicare Eligible (NME)

Not Applicable (NA)

Office Visit (OV)

Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB)

Out-of-Network (OON)

Out-of-Pocket (OOP)

Outpatient (OP)

Pay-as-you-go (PAYGo)

Per Person Per Month (PPPM)

Pharmacy (Rx)

Preferred Provider Organization (PPO)

Primary Care Physician (PCP)

Specialist Care Provider (SCP)

Summary Plan Description (SPD)

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)

Unfunded Actuarial Liability (UAL)

Urgent Care (UC)





Classic Values, Innovative Advice